arXiv:1704.05821v1 [physics.ao-ph] 16 Apr 2017

Geometric modeling of aerosol surface roughness and numerical simulation of its impact on aerosol optical properties

Jianing Zhang

Interdisplinary Research Institute, Dalian University of Technology

Abstract

A stochastic method is introduced for geometric modeling aerosol surface roughness with random field and discrete differential geometry theory. Optical scattering properties are computed for randomly oriented spheroidal particles with uniformly random surface roughness. Invariant imbedding T-Matrix and geometric optics method are applied to compute light scattering properties of aerosol particles covered from Rayleigh to geometric optics region. We simulatied scattering phase matrix of model particles, better performace is obtained than smooth particles. We aslo introduce introduce entropy and relative entropy as measures to distinguish the optical scattering similarities between different particles.

Keywords: optical properties; surface roughness; numerical simulation; random field; discrete differential geometry.

1. Introduction

Light scattering by small particles is of great importance both in scientific research[1], and in industrial technology[2][3], examples include the aerosols, cloud water droplets, ocean particles, nano metal particles et. al.. In order to characterize these particles,especially aerosols, it is necessary to know how

Email address: fugiya@dlut.edu.cn (Jianing Zhang)

they interact with light. Aerosol optical properties can be gleaned by light measurements, or by use of numerical simulations.

In terms of aerosol optics studies, perhaps the simplest geometry is isotropic homogeneous sphere, in which Lorenz-Mie theory[1] is a standard tool for the analysis, and this field is still very active. Not only has qualitative insights about scattering properties been acquired with this method, the corresponding analycal solution serves as the standard benchmark for testing the accuracy and convergence behaviors of various computation software packages of light scattering. Based on Lorenz-Mie theory, light scattering properties of a large class of natural or artificial small particles[1] has been modeled assuming these scatterers to be homogeneous spheres suspended in some medium.

Although sphere has obtained tremendous success in modeling of aerosol optical properties, any extension to non-spherical geometry has been proven to be quite useful[4]. For example, spheroids are the most commonly used for modeling dust aerosols, among all non-spherical model particles. Researchers[5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] are fascinated by spheroids because of their ability in simulating light scattering measurements for real dust aerosols with such dissimilar appearance. Counterintuitively, other irregular model particles[11, 12] generated in random manners, like Gaussian random spheres[13], do not perform as good as agreement with laboratory measurement as spheroids in optical modeling of small natural particles. On the other hand, all real particles's surface are roughened to some degree. Compared with other factors like shapes, chemical composition, not much is known about small particles's surface roughness effects on their scattering properties. Generation of reasonable surface roughness itself is complicated. Most current light scattering researchers construct particle's surface roughness texture using Gaussian random field(GRF)[14, 15] on spheres or prisms, which are based on random Fourier series expansion on spheres or finite planes. Another noticible effort in modeling surface roughness is given by the random tilt model[16], in which the local surface normal at the interception of incident ray and the particle surface is randomly tilted with a Gaussian distribution function. There are also some surface rougheness models that fail to

take the correlation of surface roughness into consideration.

In [17], light scattering spheres with surface rougheness has been investigated using Gaussian random sphere from Rayleigh to geometric optics region. Considering the common interest in spheroids and surface roughness effects, light scattering by large spheroids with surface rougheness modeled using random tilt model has been studied in [18] with a improved ray tracing method(IGOM)[19]. Furthermore, in [20], wave optics simulation for non-absorptive roughened spheroids scattering has been reported.

Although considered as an important factor, current findings on surface roughness effects were controversial and even conflicting, partly due to lack of rigous roughness model that can characterize roughness effectively and filter out effects from host particles. This paper follows our previous work[20], in which we model rough surfaces' geometry based on the theory of Gaussian random field on Riemann manifolds. On the other hand, Neshyba et. al performed a molecular dynamics simulation for surface roughness growth of ice particles[21]. We simulate light scattering by randomly rough spheroids in our new Gaussian random field model using both T-Matrix and ray tracing method. We propose a metric for determine optical similiarity between two particles. We also apply this new model to simulate ensemble average scattering properties and compare the results with measured data of real dust aerosols. Our model are based in part on rigorous mathematics as well as practical modifications that make the theory useful in optical applications.

2. Modeling aerosol rough surfaces

Natural particles varies in shapes, of which most carry obvious surface roughness. In this section, we will discuss geometric modeling of roughness on arbitrary 2D surfaces based on random field and discrete differential geometry theory. A random field $h(\mathbf{x})$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a function whose value are random variables for $\mathbf{x}$. Specifically, a GRF $h(\mathbf{x})$ (height function of the surface) can arise from

the random superposition of harmonic waves:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} A(|\mathbf{k}|)\cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x} + \phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \tag{1}$$

where $A(|\mathbf{k}|)$ is an amplitude spectrum, sampled from some probability distribution. The random phases $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ are uniformly independently distributed within the range $[0, 2\pi)$. The power spectrum is $C(|\mathbf{k}|) = A^2(|\mathbf{k}|)$, containing the two-point correlation information of random fields, in which the statistical properties of $h(\mathbf{x})$ are entirely encoded.

For generating GRF, convolution method above are limited to simple geometry like plane or sphere, since there are only few well defined harmonic waves(plane, cylindrical or spherical waves). In order to obtain GRF on a aribitrary 2D surface, we construct random fields through partial differential equation(PDE) approach[22].

In the following, we will review stochastic surface growth theory[23, 24] on 2D plane first and derive a correlation length which is a reasonable approximation to general 2D surfaces with small scale roughness. The energy density functional of a surface can be given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\nu(\nabla h)^2 + V(h) \tag{2}$$

where ν represents "surface diffusion" and $V(h)$ potential energy. The corresponding linear Langevin equation is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(\mathbf{x}, t) = \nu\nabla^2 h - ah + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{3}$$

where η is a Gaussian radom variable, $V(h) = ah^2$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)] = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)\eta(\mathbf{x}', t')] = 2\sigma^2\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\delta(t - t') \tag{5}$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the surface height function. After making a Fourier transform, the solution at time t can be obtained as

$$h(\mathbf{k}, t) = h(\mathbf{k}, 0)e^{-(\nu k^2 + a)t} + \int_0^t d\tau e^{-(\nu k^2 + a)(t-\tau)}\eta(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$$

If $h(\mathbf{k}, 0) = 0$, the average of surface height at time t will be 0, and the covariance function of the surface height h grows as

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{k}, t)^* h(\mathbf{k}', t)] = (2\pi)^2 \delta^2(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')(1 - e^{-2(\nu k^2 + a)t}) \frac{T}{\nu k^2 + a} \tag{6}$$

After transforming to the coordinate space, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{0}, t)h(\mathbf{x}, t)] = 2\pi T \int \frac{J_0(kr)(1 - e^{-2(\nu k^2 + a)t})}{\nu k^2 + a} k dk$$

Let $\Lambda = 1/l_0$ be the cutoff. When $a \to 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{x}, t)h(\mathbf{x}, t)] &\propto \int_0^\Lambda (1 - e^{-2\nu k^2 t}) \frac{1}{k} dk & (7) \\ &= \ln[1 + 2\nu t / l_0^2] & (8) \end{aligned}$$

where we have a correlation length $l_c = \sqrt{2\nu t}$[23].

$$h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \chi(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\eta(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

and $\chi = \frac{1}{-i\omega + \nu k^2 + a}$ is the suseptibility. According to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, we obtain correlation function:

$$C(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{2T}{\omega} \Im \chi(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$$

Now, we extend the PDE approach to generate GRFs on general 2D closed surfaces for the purpose of modeling particle surfaces. For simplicity, we adopt Edwards-Wilkinson model(a=0 in eq. 3). Following the approach given in[25], we give a review on how to approximate the partial differential equation on a general 2D surface using discrete differential geometry theory. Explicitly, suppose $\tilde{h}$ is the approximation of the true solution h, then:

$$\tilde{h} = \sum_j h_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \tag{9}$$

where $\{\phi_j\}$ is a collection of expansion functions. If we apply Green's identity to the triangle mesh, the integral is breaking up into a sum over individual triangles:

$$\langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \tilde{h} \rangle = \sum_j h_j \langle \nabla \phi_i, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \tag{10}$$



Figure 1: Sample element of a typical triangular mesh.

where each component is given by

$$\langle \nabla\phi_i, \nabla\phi_j \rangle = \int_\Omega dx \nabla\phi_i(x) \cdot \nabla\phi_j(x) \tag{11}$$

Within a given triangle, each expansion function associated with the corresponding vertex

$$\langle \nabla\phi_k, \nabla\phi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\cot\alpha + cot\beta) \tag{12}$$

where α and β are the remaining two angles of that given triangle (see Fig. 1). Similarly, for the exapnsion functions ϕ_i and ϕ_j associated with two connected vertices along the same edge, we have

$$\langle \nabla\phi_i, \nabla\phi_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\cot\gamma \tag{13}$$

Now, we can obtain discretized version of Laplacian of arbitrary 2D surfaces, which can be approximated as

$$(\nabla^2 h)_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_j (\cot\alpha_j + \cot\beta_j)(h_j - h_i) \tag{14}$$

where the sum is taken over the connected neighbors of vertex i.

$$h_i^{n+1} = h_i^n + \frac{1}{2}\nu\delta t \sum_j (\cot\alpha_j + \cot\beta_j)(h_j^n - h_i^n) + \eta_i \delta t \tag{15}$$

Next, we describe how to generate uniform roughness on spheroid. First, we discretize the smooth spheroid surface with triangular mesh using DistMesh[26].



Figure 2: Samples of spheroids with different surface roughness degree generated by the method described here.

The normal direction at each vertex on the mesh is defined as the average of adjacent trangular facets. The surface height function h is defined as the normal distance deviation from smooth surface. The simulation is performed according the above equation. The deviation and correlation of height function can be controled by totoal simulation time. Fig. 2 illustrates some samples of rough spheroids. We keep the correlation length fixed and use the standard deviation of surface height $w = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}ar(h)}$ to characterize the degree of surface roughness. The average surface area of a particler is written as

$$A_r = \mathbb{E}[\int (1 + |\nabla h|^2)^{1/2} d\mathbf{x}] \tag{16}$$

So we introduce another characteristic of roughness, which is defined as the ratio of rough and smooth surface area[]. In particular, it has been noted that the above equation becomes $A_r \simeq A_s + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[\int |\nabla h|^2 d\mathbf{x}]$ for small roughness

$|\nabla h| \ll 1$, which unveils the connection between the average surface area of rough surface and its average local slope. This roughness characteristic also connects to physical properties of natural particles. For example, the surface area of a large particle is proportional to its radiation power according to the Stefan-Boltzmann law.

3. Information entropy of scattering

The Stokes parameters give a complete description of light fields in the sense of principle of optical equivalence. The presense of obstacles in the path of light fields produces light scattering. At the large distance, the scattered field moving in a given direction can be considered as a plane wave field locally. The Stokes parameters of the incident field and scattered field are related by scattering phase matrix. Under random orientation assumption, only 6 non-zero elements of this matrix survives

$$P(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P_{33} & P_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & P_{43} & P_{44} \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

where $\mu = \cos\theta_{sc}$, θ_{sc} is the scattering angle between incident direction and scattered direction of light. Phase matrix P are difined with the normalization condition:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int P_{11}(\mu)d\mu = 1$$

Given the frequency of light, the phase function P_{11} of a scatterer can be interpreted the angular distribution of light field intensity scattered by the scatterer.

As we haved mentioned above, random irregular particles usually do not fit measured scattering phase matrix of natural particles like dust aerosols as well as spheroids. There are long and severe debates on why spheroids model optical properties of real particles so well. In this paper, we propose a potential tool to solve this debate by introducing the concept of scattering information

entropy. Entropy is encountered frequently in statistical physics, information theory. Generally, Shannon's information entropy for any probability distribution $f(x)$ of random variable x is defined as

$$S = -\int d\mu P_{11}(\mu) \ln[P_{11}(\mu)] \tag{18}$$

Since scattering phase function is indeed a probability density ditribution of scattered directions. For each phase function, we can calculate its entropy, the degree of disorder. Overall, for larger particles, the higher peak in forward direction will conrespond to lower entropy. To quantify optical similarity between different particles, we introduce the distance between the two distributions $P_{11}(\mu)$ and $P'_{11}(\mu)$ is given by the relative entropy or more formally, Kullback-Leibler divergence[27]

$$KL(P_{11}||P'_{11}) = \int P_{11}(\mu) \ln[P_{11}(\mu)/P'_{11}(\mu)] d\mu \tag{19}$$

Here, the invariant imbedding T-matrix method (II-TM) [28, 29] is used for computing scattering phase functions of small spheroidal particles with refractive index $n = 1.31$. Fig. 3 (top) shows the Shannon entropy as a function of size parameter $X = ka$(k is the wavenumber, and a is maximum dimension of the corresponding particle.). The pattern shows the entropy will decrease in trend but fluctuate around certain sizes. The amplitudes of fluctuations decay gradually as particles' size parameter increases. It seems reasonable that entropy curves will become much smoother when particles' size jump to the geometrical optics region. Potential applications, it is possible to retrieve the particles' optical scattering information in scattering medium by maximizing the entropy of scattering phase function. For $X > 20$, the curve of Shannon entropy begin to split. The surface roughness increase the Shannon entropy for each size. In Fig. 3 (bottom), it shows that as standard deviation w (roughness metric) increases, so does the KL-divergence between rough spheroids and their smooth host spheroids. It also indicates that as size parameter increases, the KL-divergence grows too. Now, under uniformly random orientation assumption, we propose our explanation for the mysterious success of spherods, that



Figure 3: Shannon information entropy and KL-Divergence computed by the scattering phase functions of spheroids with different degrees of surface roughness$w = 0.00, 0.010.02$. The range of the size parameter is $X \in [0, 50]$.

KL-divergences for phase functions of spheroids' ensemble are generally larger that the KL-divergences for phase functions of random irregular particles' ensemble. In other words, functional spaces of phase matrix elements for spheroids span larger than that of random irregular particles.

4. Numerical simulation

Dust aerosol particles are modeled with the spheroid, of which with z as the symmetry axis the equation is given by

$$\frac{x^2+y^2}{b^2}+\frac{z^2}{a^2}=1 \tag{20}$$

where a is the distance from centre to either pole along the symmetry axis, tand he equatorial radius of the spheroid is the semi-major axis b. The aspect ratio is defined as $\epsilon = a/b$. And we have

$$a > b : \text{prolate spheroid}$$

$$a < b : \text{ oblate spheroid}$$

In the following, light scattering by particles with the our new random rough surfaces are simulated using both wave optics and ray tracing methods. For numerical wave scattering simulation, we use a T-Matrix method, which implements orientation averaging in a quite efficient way. The standard approach for finding the T-Matrix of underlying particles involves solving the surface integral form of the Fredholm integral equation. Various techniques have applied to obtain semi-analytical or numerical results. For cases where the particles' shapes are asymmetric, invariant imbedding T-Matrix methods provides an efficient numerical algorithm which allows solving T-Matrix with volume integral form of Fredholm integral equation.

For particle with size parameter $X = 60$, it takes about 25 hours wall time for a single simulation with 100 GB memory usuage. The computing platform used is a IBM NeXtScale nx360 M4 dual socket server based on the Intel Xeon 2.5GHz E5-2670 v2 10-core processor, 2.5 GHz and 256GB RAM, 20 cores on the node.

Fig. 4 shows the phase matrix elements for randomly rough spheroids with different roughness degree w (size parameter $X = 60$, aspect ratio $\epsilon = a/b = 2$). It shows roughness has little impact on scattering phase function for angles ¡ 5°. While for angles ¿ 40°, roughness will enhance scattering phase function P_{11}, especially for the backward direction 180°, which means backscattering is quite sensitive to surface roughness. For other phase matrix elements like P_{22}/P_{11},surface roughness changes the curvve dramatically, all local extrema are smoothed out. Generally, increasing surface roughness will make the curve smoother. Increasing surface roughness, value and variance of the curves of phase matrix elements decreases.

Fig. 5 computes the phase matrix elements of the same sample particle as above with a improved ray tracing method[19].The figure shows consistent moving trend for these two methods.

We examine the performance of randomly rough spheroids by comparing modeling results with the experimental measured data for feldspar ensemble. To obtain the model scattering phase matrix $P(\mu)$, we perform the ensemble average for all particle shapes and sizes over the measured size range:

$$P(\mu) = \frac{\sum_{s,X} n_s n_X \sigma_{sc}(s,X) P(\mu,s,X)}{\sum_{s,X} n_s n_X \sigma_{sc}(s,X)} \tag{21}$$

where X is the particle size parameter, s represents its shape, n_X, n_s are particle size and shape probability mass function and $\sigma(s,X)$ is the scattering cross section for a particle with shape s and size X.

Fig.6 and Fig. 7 indicate that the surface roughness have great impact on phase matrix of spheroidal particles, esperically larger size. But the comparison with measurements just shows slightly better performance than smooth spheroids. The optical scattering of aerosol particles is size dependent. We simulate light scattering by dust aerosols within size parameter ranging from 1 to 300. For size parameter below 60, II-TM is used and for size parameters above 60, we apply IGOM. The estimated refractive index is set to be $m = 1.5{+}0.001i$, and particle size distribution is statistically estimated by observations given in [30]. Specifically, we use a volume distribution for aerosol particles in our com-



Figure 4: The reduced scattering phase matrix elements computed with the II-TM method for spheroidal particles with different surface roughness degrees, size parameter is set to 50, and $m = 1.5 + 0.001i$.



Figure 5: The reduced scattering phase matrix elements computed with the IGOM method for spheroidal particles with different surface roughness degrees, size parameter is set to 50, and $m = 1.5 + 0.001i$.



Figure 6: Density plots of oblate($b/a = 2.0$) ensemble phase matrix elements (top to bottom: $logP_{11}$, P_{12}/P_{11}, P_{22}/P_{11}) with different surface height deviation w from left to: 0.00, 0.01, 0.02.



Figure 7: Density plots of prolate($a/b = 2.0$) ensemble phase matrix elements (top to bottom: $logP_{11}$, P_{12}/P_{11}, P_{22}/P_{11}) with different surface height deviation w from left to: 0.00, 0.01, 0.02.

parison. A power distribution for spheroids' aspect radio has been used, where power is set to be 3 [31]. We compare our model results with the measured data from the Amsterdam Light Scattering Database[30]. Fig. **??** shows model fiting curves versus measured data. The simulated data show good agreement between measurements and model results. The simulation shows that randomly rough spheroid model particles, which reproduce most of the phase matrix elements, give better fit for observed aerosol scattering data.

5. conclusion

In conclusion, a method for applied random field and discrete differential geometry method on arbitrary 2D surfaces to light scattering problems involving surface roughness is presented. The method applies a novel approach for discretizing particles' surfaces based on stochastic differential equation. When applied to particle surface, correlation of surface random field can be estimated. In particular, the correlation length of an random field on particle surface is obtained with a quasi planar approximation. The mean and variance of the surface random field on each particle can be estimated using normal statistical inference methods.

Furthermore, information entropy and KL-divergence are introduced for quantitatively distinguishing optical similarities between two particles, which can be used as the similarity measure. Application to remote sening of atmospheric particles and optical charaterization of small particles are also possible. Light scattering by rough spheroids are simulated with II-TM and IGOM software package. Finally, ensemble modeling results are compared with the laboratory measured scattering phase matrix data of feldspar aerosol.

6. Funding Information

The work was supported by "The Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities"(DUT16RC(3)121)



Figure 8: Comparison of measured data (orange dots) and modeled scattering phase matrix elements for the same shape distribution with different surface roughness degrees at wavelength 632.8 nm. $w = 0.0$ corresponds to the smooth spheroid. $m = 1.5 + 0.001i$.

7. Bibliography styles

References

References

[1] C. F. Bohren, D. R. Huffman, Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles, 1st Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.

[2] J. F. Kok, D. A. Ridley, Q. Zhou, R. L. Miller, C. Zhao, C. L. Heald, D. S. Ward, S. Albani, K. Haustein, Smaller desert dust cooling effect estimated from analysis of dust size and abundance, Nature Geosci 10 (4) (2017) 274–278.

[3] B. Veihelmann, M. Konert, W. J. van der Zande, Size distribution of mineral aerosol: using light-scattering models in laser particle sizing, Appl. Opt. 45 (23) (2006) 6022–6029. doi:10.1364/AO.45.006022.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-45-23-6022

[4] J. W. H. M. I. Mishchenko, L. D. Travis, Light Scattering by Nonspherical Particles, 1st Edition, Academic Press, 2000.

[5] F. M. Schulz, K. Stamnes, J. J. Stamnes, Scattering of electromagnetic waves by spheroidal particles: a novelapproach exploiting the t matrix computed in spheroidalcoordinates, Appl. Opt. 37 (33) (1998) 7875–7896. doi:10.1364/AO.37.007875.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-37-33-7875

[6] M. I. Mishchenko, L. D. Travis, Light scattering by polydispersions of randomly oriented spheroids with sizes comparable to wavelengths of observation, Appl. Opt. 33 (30) (1994) 7206–7225. doi:10.1364/AO.33.007206.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-33-30-7206

[7] S. Asano, M. Sato, Light scattering by randomly oriented spheroidal particles, Appl. Opt. 19 (6) (1980) 962–974. doi:10.1364/AO.19.000962.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-19-6-962

[8] O. Dubovik, B. N. H. andT. Lapyonok, A. Sinyuk, M. I. Mishchenko, P. Yang, I. Slutsker, Non-spherical aerosol retrieval method employing light scattering by spheroids, Geophys. Res. Lett. 29 (2002) 329–345.

[9] T. Nousiainen, Optical modeling of mineral dust particles: A review, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 110 (1416) (2009) 1261 – 1279, {XI} Conference on Electromagnetic and Light Scattering by Non-Spherical Particles: 2008. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2009.03.002.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407309000934

[10] T. Nousiainen, M. Kahnert, H. Lindqvist, Can particle shape information be retrieved from light-scattering observations using spheroidal model particles?, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 112 (13) (2011) 2213 – 2225, polarimetric Detection, Characterization, and Remote Sensing. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2011.05.008.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407311001968

[11] L. Bi, P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, R. Kahn, Single-scattering properties of triaxial ellipsoidal particles for a size parameter range from the rayleigh to geometric-optics regimes, Appl. Opt. 48 (1) (2009) 114–126. doi:10.1364/AO.48.000114.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-48-1-114

[12] L. Bi, P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, R. Kahn, Modeling optical properties of mineral aerosol particles by using nonsymmetric hexahedra, Appl. Opt. 49 (3) (2010) 334–342. doi:10.1364/AO.49.000334.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-49-3-334

[13] K. Muinonen, T. Nousiainen, P. Fast, K. Lumme, J. Peltoniemi, Light scattering by gaussian random particles: Ray optics approximation, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 55 (5) (1996)

577 – 601. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4073(96)00003-9.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022407396000039

[14] M. Kahnert, T. Rother, Modeling optical properties of particles with small-scale surface roughness: combination of group theory with a perturbation approach, Opt. Express 19 (12) (2011) 11138–11151. doi:10.1364/OE.19.011138.
URL http://www.opticsexpress.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-19-12-11138

[15] Y. Grynko, Y. Shkuratov, J. Förstner, Light scattering by irregular particles much larger than the wavelength with wavelength-scale surface roughness, Opt. Lett. 41 (15) (2016) 3491–3494. doi:10.1364/OL.41.003491.
URL http://ol.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ol-41-15-3491

[16] P. Yang, K. N. Liou, Single-scattering properties of complex ice crystals in terrestrial atmosphere, Contr. Atmos. Phys. 71 (1998) 223–248.

[17] J. Liu, P. Yang, K. Muinonen, Dust-aerosol optical modeling with gaussian spheres: Combined invariant-imbedding t-matrix and geometric-optics approach, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 161 (2015) 136 – 144. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2015.04.003.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407315001545

[18] L. Kolokolova, H. S. Das, O. Dubovik, T. Lapyonok, P. Yang, Polarization of cosmic dust simulated with the rough spheroid model, Planetary and Space Science 116 (2015) 30 – 38, cosmic Dust {VII}. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2015.03.006.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0032063315000665

[19] P. Yang, K. N. Liou, Geometric-optics–integral-equation method for light

scattering by nonspherical ice crystals, Appl. Opt. 35 (33) (1996) 6568–6584. doi:10.1364/AO.35.006568.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-35-33-6568

[20] J. Zhang, L. Bi, J. Liu, R. L. Panetta, P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, Optical scattering simulation of ice particles with surface roughness modeled using the edwards-wilkinson equation, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 178 (2016) 325 – 335, electromagnetic and light scattering by nonspherical particles XV: Celebrating 150 years of Maxwell's electromagnetics. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2016.02.013.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407315301321

[21] S. Neshyba, J. Adams, K. Reed, P. M. Rowe, I. Gladich, A quasi-liquid mediated continuum model of faceted ice dynamics, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 121 (23) (2016) 14,035–14,055, 2016JD025458. doi:10.1002/2016JD025458.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025458

[22] J. L. F. Lindgren, H. Rue, An explicit link between gaussian fields and gaussian markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach, J. R. Stat. Soc. B 73 (4) (2011) 42–49. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00777.x.
URL http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00777.x

[23] G. W. Y.-P Zhao, T.-M. Lu, Characterization of Amorphous and Crystalline Rough Surface–Principles and Applications, 1st Edition, Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.

[24] Y.-C. Z. M. Kardar, G. Parisi, Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (9) (1986) 889–892.

[25] K. Crane, F. de Goes, M. Desbrun, P. Schröder, Digital Geometry Process-

ing with Discrete Exterior Calculus, SIGGRAPH '13, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2013.

[26] P.-O. Persson, G. Strang, A simple mesh generator in matlab, SIAM Review 46 (2) (2004) 329–345. arXiv:http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0036144503429121, doi:10.1137/S0036144503429121.
URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0036144503429121

[27] D. J. C. MacKay, Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms, 1st Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2003.

[28] B. R. Johnson, Invariant imbedding t matrix approach to electromagnetic scattering, Appl. Opt. 27 (23) (1988) 4861–4873. doi:10.1364/AO.27.004861.
URL http://ao.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-27-23-4861

[29] L. Bi, P. Yang, G. W. Kattawar, M. I. Mishchenko, A numerical combination of extended boundary condition method and invariant imbedding method applied to light scattering by large spheroids and cylinders, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 123 (2013) 17 – 22, peter C. Waterman and his scientific legacy. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.11.033.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407313000320

[30] O. Munoz, F. Moreno, D. Guirado, D. Dabrowska, H. Volten, J. Hovenier, The amsterdamgranada light scattering database, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 113 (7) (2012) 565 – 574. doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.01.014.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407312000386

[31] S. Merikallio, H. Lindqvist, T. Nousiainen, M. Kahnert, Modelling light scattering by mineral dust using spheroids: assessment of applicability,

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 11 (11) (2011) 5347–5363. doi:10.5194/acp-11-5347-2011.

URL http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/11/5347/2011/